Mott metal-insulator transition on compressible lattices

Markus Garst Universität zu Köln

in collaboration with :

Mario Zacharias (Köln) Lorenz Bartosch (Frankfurt)







Outline

- Introduction: Mott transition
- Universality of the Mott endpoint
- Experiments
- Theory: Mott endpoint on compressible lattices
- Summary

Introduction: Mott transition

Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



hopping: gain of kinetic energy t

Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



hopping: gain of kinetic energy t

Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow} c_{i\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



hopping: gain of kinetic energy t

Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{i\sigma} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c^{\dagger}_{i\uparrow} c_{i\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\downarrow} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)



hopping: gain of kinetic energy t

doubly occupied site: cost of energy U

Hubbard model:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\sigma \langle ij \rangle} c_{i\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j\sigma} + U \sum_{i} c_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\uparrow} c_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} c_{i\downarrow}$$

hopping on a lattice

simplification: on-site repulsion (screened Coulomb interaction)

at half-filling:

strong competition between kinetic energy and on-site repulsion



metallic for t >> U (without nesting)

insulating for t << U



metal-insulator transition at a critical ratio t/U

Phase diagram



Phase diagram



to release spin entropy: magnetic ordering at low T

Tuning by pressure



applying pressure reduces lattice constant

 \Rightarrow increases overlap of electron wavefunctions, enhancement of hopping amplitude t

Phase diagram with pressure tuning



Phase diagram of V₂O₃



McWhan et al PRB (1973)

Universality of the Mott end point

Mott critical endpoint



What are the critical properties of the Mott endpoint?

Liquid-gas end point

line of first-order liquid-gas transitions terminates at second-order end point



Liquid-gas end point

line of first-order liquid-gas transitions terminates at second-order end point



Ising criticality

Ising magnet in a field: $\mathcal{L} = \frac{T - T_c}{2T_c}M^2 + (\nabla M)^2 + \frac{u}{4!}M^4 - HM$



Ising criticality

Ising magnet in a field: $\mathcal{L} = \frac{T - T_c}{2T_c}M^2 + (\nabla M)^2 + \frac{u}{4!}M^4 - HM$



Ising criticality

Ising magnet in a field: $\mathcal{L} = \frac{T - T_c}{2T_c}M^2 + (\nabla M)^2 + \frac{u}{4!}M^4 - HM$



critical behavior: non-trivial Ising exponents close to endpoint

Universality of the Mott end point: Experiments

Mott critical endpoint



Is the Mott endpoint analogous to the liquid-gas endpoint? Is it in the Ising universality class?

Science 302, 89 (2003)



Universality and Critical Behavior at the Mott Transition

P. Limelette, ^{1*} A. Georges, ^{1,2} D. Jérome, ¹ P. Wzietek, ¹ P. Metcalf, ³ J. M. Honig³

Science 302, 89 (2003)



Universality and Critical Behavior at the Mott Transition

P. Limelette, ^{1*} A. Georges, ^{1,2} D. Jérome, ¹ P. Wzietek, ¹ P. Metcalf, ³ J. M. Honig³

Science 302, 89 (2003)



Universality and Critical Behavior at the Mott Transition P. Limelette,^{1*} A. Georges,^{1,2} D. Jérome,¹ P. Wzietek,¹ P. Metcalf,³ J. M. Honig³ Landau mean-field exponents

indications for Ising exponents close to the endpoint?

non-trivial assumption of the scaling analysis:

conductivity is the Ising order parameter!

Mott end point of κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

Unconventional critical behaviour in a quasi-twodimensional organic conductor

F. Kagawa¹, K. Miyagawa^{1,2} & K. Kanoda^{1,2}

nature

Vol 436|28 July 2005|doi:10.1038/nature03806



conducting 2D layers of BEDT-TTF



Mott end point of κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

Unconventional critical behaviour in a quasi-twodimensional organic conductor

F. Kagawa¹, K. Miyagawa^{1,2} & K. Kanoda^{1,2}

nature

Vol 436|28 July 2005|doi:10.1038/nature03806



Mott end point of ĸ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

Unconventional critical behaviour in a quasi-twodimensional organic conductor

F. Kagawa¹, K. Miyagawa^{1,2} & K. Kanoda^{1,2}

nature

Vol 436|28 July 2005|doi:10.1038/nature03806



exponents neither Landau nor Ising?

unconventional universality class?

Mott end point of κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

Unconventional critical behaviour in a quasi-twodimensional organic conductor

F. Kagawa¹, K. Miyagawa^{1,2} & K. Kanoda^{1,2}

nature

Vol 436|28 July 2005|doi:10.1038/nature03806



exponents neither Landau nor Ising?

unconventional universality class?

S. Papanikolaou et al. PRL (2008): NO!

conductivity NOT necessarily the Ising order parameter! instead: conductivity ~ energy density (cf. Fisher-Langer scaling)



consistency with Ising criticality!

Mott end point of κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

Thermodynamics easier to interpret than transport:





thermal expansion as a function of T: consistent with 2d Ising critical behavior

M. de Souza, A. Brühl, Ch. Strack, B. Wolf, D. Schweitzer, and M. Lang, PRL (2007) L. Bartosch, M. de Souza, and M. Lang, PRL (2010)

Universality of the Mott end point: Theory

Mott critical endpoint



Is the Mott endpoint analogous to the liquid-gas endpoint? Is it really in the Ising universality class?



Castellani et al. PRL (1979); Kotliar et al. PRL (2000); Papanikolaoou et al. PRL (2008)



i.e. for basically all solid state realizations!





at the first order transition:

jump of conjugate quantity, i.e. volume ΔV \Box solid-to-solid isostructural transition

Mott endpoint \triangleq solid-solid endpoint

Critical Behavior of the Mott Transition in Cr-Doped V₂O₃

A. Jayaraman, D. B. McWhan, J. P. Remeika, and P. D. Dernier Bell Telephone Laboratories, Murray Hill, New Jersey 07974 (Received 24 April 1970)



FIG. 7. Change in the lattice constant (a) for two Crdoped V_2O_3 samples as a function of temperature. The sample with x = 0.006 exhibits a discontinuous jump. Sample with x = 0.004 shows a continuous and smooth but somewhat anomalous variation. The former is characterist of suberitical and the latter of supercritical behavior. 15 of Ref. 2). Based on an extrapolation of the M-I transition boundary to pure V_2O_3 and from the nature of the resistance anomaly observed in pure V_2O_3 in the 500-600 °K region, ³ it has been suggested¹ that the M-I phase boundary terminates at a critical point. In this paper we present results of resistance-versus-pressure studies on several Cr-doped V_2O_3 samples and show that the M-I phase boundary does terminate at a critical point in the pressure-temperature plane, in accordance with the earlier prediction. ¹ Results of x-ray diffraction studies on several of these samples at different temperatures are presented which are consistent with the termination of the Mott transition at a solid-solid critical point.

I. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Single crystals of Cr-doped V_2O_3 were grown by a new flux technique.² For resistivity measurements, samples were contacted with four leads placed approximately at the corners of a square.

Difference between a solid and a liquid:

Mott endpoint ≜ solid-solid endpoint ≠ liquid-gas endpoint

Difference between a solid and a liquid:





wikipedia

Liquid-gas critical point



vanishing sound velocity at the liquid-gas endpoint

Solid-solid critical point



Macroscopic instability of a solid

elasticity theory:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ij} \, C_{ijkl} \, \epsilon_{kl}$$

solid becomes unstable if a eigenvalue of C_{ijkl} vanishes: $\det C_{ijkl} = 0$

phonon velocities are determined by a different matrix: $D_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \hat{q}_k \hat{q}_l$

BUT: $\det C_{ijkl} = 0 \implies \det D_{ij} = 0$



Universality classes



Liquid-gas critical point:

vanishing sound velocity \rightarrow critical microscopic fluctuations

└> Ising criticality



Solid-solid critical point:

isostructural transition, no change in crystal symmetry finite sound velocity \rightarrow absence of critical fluctuations

Landau (mean-field) criticality

applies to all crystal symmetries:

Cowley, PRB (1976), Folk, Iro, Schwabl, Z Physik B (1976)

Mott critical endpoint \Box > Landau criticality

Two scenarios:

weak Mott-elastic coupling:



crossover from Mott-Landau to Mott-Ising to elastic Landau criticality

Mott critical endpoint \Box > Landau criticality

Two scenarios:

weak Mott-elastic coupling:



crossover from Mott-Landau to Mott-Ising to elastic Landau criticality Two scenarios:

weak Mott-elastic coupling: strong Mott-elastic coupling: Mott-Landau Mott Landau Mott-Ising Т Т critical critical elastic elastic-Landau critical Landau Mott Mott insulator insulator metal metal р

crossover from Mott-Landau to Mott-Ising to elastic Landau criticality single crossover from Mott- to elastic Landau criticality

р

Theory and relation to experiments

Assumption:

Mott endpoint without coupling to the lattice = Ising critical

How does the Ising order parameter ϕ couple to strain?

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = -\gamma_1 \varepsilon \phi + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 \varepsilon \phi^2$$

 \mathcal{E} : singlet irred. representation of crystal group

linear coupling allowed as Ising symmetry is emergent!

Linear coupling only to singlet $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow$

no crystal symmetry breaking isostructural instability!

similar to critical piezoelectric ferroelectrics

Levanyuk and Sobyanin JETP Lett. (1970), Villain, SSC (1970)

How does the Ising order parameter ϕ couple to strain?

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm int} = -\gamma_1 \varepsilon \phi + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2 \varepsilon \phi^2$$

Effective theory: neglect non-critical phonons

→ effective potential for macroscopic strain singlet



weak Mott-elastic coupling





Mott-Ising endpoint preempted by isostructural instability mean-field exponents!

effective potential:

$$\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon) = \frac{K_0}{2}\varepsilon^2 - \varepsilon p + f_{\text{sing}}(t_0 + \gamma_2\varepsilon, h_0 + \gamma_1\varepsilon)$$



away from the critical point (blue regime): perturbative minimization: $\varepsilon \approx p/K_0$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\text{pert}} = -\frac{p^2}{2K_0} + f_{\text{sing}}(t_0 + \gamma_2 p/K_0, h_0 + \gamma_1 p/K_0)$$

$$\mathbf{f} \qquad \mathbf{k}$$
pressure dependence of tuning parameter

pressure dependence of tuning parameters

used by Bartosch et al. PRL (2010)

yellow non-perturbative regime: elastic Landau-critical

expansion in $\delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon - \overline{\varepsilon}$

$$\mathcal{V}(\varepsilon) \approx \frac{K}{2} \delta \varepsilon^2 - \delta \varepsilon \left(p - \bar{p} \right) + \frac{u}{4!} \delta \varepsilon^4 + f_{\text{sing}}(\bar{t}, \bar{h})$$

with $\overline{\varepsilon}$ chosen such that cubic term vanishes and $\overline{h} = h_0 + \gamma_1 \overline{\varepsilon}$ and $\overline{t} = t_0 + \gamma_2 \overline{\varepsilon}$

renormalized modulus:

$$K = K_0 - \gamma_1^2 \chi_{\bar{h}\bar{h}} - 2\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \chi_{\bar{h}\bar{t}} - \gamma_2^2 \chi_{\bar{t}\bar{t}}$$

most singular correction due to linear coupling:

$$\chi_{\bar{h}\bar{h}} = -\partial_{\bar{h}}^2 f_{\rm sing}(\bar{t},\bar{h})$$

diverges and drives bulk modulus to zero!

For $K \approx 0$ minimization yields:

 $\delta \varepsilon = (6(p - \bar{p})/u)^{1/3}$ breakdown of Hooke's law! with mean-field exponent



Application to κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

breakdown of Hooke's law:

smoking-gun criterion for elastic Landau critical regime with non-perturbative Mott-elastic coupling



width of the elastic Landau regime:

$$\Delta p^* \approx 45 \,\mathrm{bar} = 4.5 \,\mathrm{MPa}$$

 $\Delta T^* \approx 2.5 \,\mathrm{K}$

Application to κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

breakdown of Hooke's law:

smoking-gun criterion for elastic Landau critical regime with non-perturbative Mott-elastic coupling



width of the elastic Landau regime:

$$\Delta p^* \approx 45 \,\mathrm{bar} = 4.5 \,\mathrm{MPa}$$

 $\Delta T^* \approx 2.5 \,\mathrm{K}$

Application to κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂X

using fitting parameters of Bartosch et al. PRL (2010):





dotted line: perturbative; solid line: full solution

width of the elastic Landau regime:

$$\Delta p^* \approx 45 \,\mathrm{bar} = 4.5 \,\mathrm{MPa}$$

 $\Delta T^* \approx 2.5 \,\mathrm{K}$



What is the critical singlet irred. representation of strain for V_2O_3 ?

PHYSICAL REVIEW B

VOLUME 24, NUMBER 6

15 SEPTEMBER 1981

Elastic constants of V₂O₃ between 300 and 640 K: Anomalies near the high-temperature electrical transition

D. N. Nichols, R. J. Sladek, and H. R. Harrison Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 (Received 12 May 1981)

determined elastic constant matrix by measureing various sound velocities

trigonal crystal symmetry

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{13} & -C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{14} & -C_{14} & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & C_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{14} & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

TABLE I. Sample length at room temperature, wave propagation, and polarization directions, and elastic stiffness ρv^2 , where ρ is the sample density and v the wave velocity.

Sample length (cm)	Propagation $\hat{\mathbf{q}}$ and polarization \hat{e}	ρυ ² a
0.5465	$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_L \parallel [001] \parallel \hat{e}$	C ₃₃
0.5465	\hat{q}_{s} [001] \hat{e}_{s}	C44
0.4925	$\hat{\mathbf{q}}_L \parallel [100] \parallel \hat{e}$	C ₁₁
0.4925	q _s [100]	$C_{FT} = \frac{1}{4}(C_{11} - C_{12} + 2C_{44})$
	\hat{e}_s : -37° from basal plane	$+\frac{1}{4}[(C_{11}-C_{12}-2C_{44})^2+16C_{14}^2]^{1/2}$
0.4925	q _s [100]	$C_{ST} = \frac{1}{4}(C_{11} - C_{12} + 2C_{44})$
	\hat{e}_s : 53° from basal plane	$-\frac{1}{4}[(C_{11}-C_{12}-2C_{44})^2+16C_{14}^2]^{1/2}$
0.3683	\mathbf{q}_{s} : 45° from <i>c</i> axis in the mirror plane	$C_T = \frac{1}{4} (4C_{14} + 2C_{44} + C_{11} - C_{12})$
	$\hat{e}_{s} \parallel [100]$	
0.4563	$\mathbf{\hat{q}}_{L}^{\dagger} \ \hat{e}$: 45° from <i>c</i> axis in the mirror plane	$C_{QL} = \frac{1}{4} (C_{11} + C_{33} + 2C_{44} - 2C_{14}) + \frac{1}{2} [\frac{1}{4} (C_{11} - C_{33} - 2C_{14})^2 + (C_{13} + C_{44} - C_{14})^2]^{1/2}$

^aEquations are from Ref. 16.

phonon velocities related to eigenvalues of $D_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \hat{q}_k \hat{q}_l$

What is the critical singlet irred. representation of strain for V_2O_3 ?

PHYSICAL REVIEW B VOLUME 24, NUMBER 6 15 SEPTEMBER 1981

Elastic constants of V₂O₃ between 300 and 640 K: Anomalies near the high-temperature electrical transition

D. N. Nichols, R. J. Sladek, and H. R. Harrison Department of Physics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907 (Received 12 May 1981)

trigonal crystal symmetry

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{12} & C_{11} & C_{13} & -C_{14} & 0 & 0 \\ C_{13} & C_{13} & C_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ C_{14} & -C_{14} & 0 & C_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{44} & C_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & C_{14} & \frac{C_{11}-C_{12}}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

TABLE II. Expressions relating the eigenvalues (λ_i) of the elastic constant matrix to the independent elastic constants (C_{ij}) and the strain associated with each eigenvalue for trigonal crystals with point group $\overline{3}m$, and eigenvalues for V_2O_3 at 300 K.

Eigenvalue relations	Strain	$V_2O_3 \text{ at } 300 \text{ K} \ \lambda_i \qquad \lambda_{i+1} \ (10^{11} \text{ dyn/cm}^2)$	
$\lambda_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} - C_{12} + C_{44}) \pm \frac{1}{2} [(C_{11} - C_{12} - C_{44})^2 + 8C_{14}^2]^{1/2}$	$(e_1 - e_2) - \frac{2C_{14}}{\lambda_{1,2} - C_{44}} e_4$	19.3,	7.8
$\lambda_{3,4} = \frac{1}{2} (C_{11} + C_{12} + C_{33}) \pm \frac{1}{2} [(C_{11} + C_{12} - C_{33})^2 + 8C_{13}^2]^{1/2}$	$(e_1 + e_2) - \frac{2C_{13}}{\lambda_{3,4} - C_{33}} e_3$	56.7,	13.7
$\lambda_{5,6} = \frac{1}{4} (C_{11} - C_{12} + 2C_{44}) \pm \frac{1}{4} [(C_{11} - C_{12} - 2C_{44})^2 + 16C_{14}^2]^{1/2}$ $= C_{FT}, C_{ST}$	$e_5 + \frac{\lambda_{5,6} - C_{44}}{C_{14}}e_6$	11.0,	6.8

crystal stability: eigenvalues must be positive



FIG. 5. Eigenvalues of the elastic constant matrix for V_2O_3 relative to their 300-K values as a function of temperature.

strong softening! Singlet!

strong softening close to critical temperature already in undoped V2O3!

probably no regime with non-trivial Ising criticality!





FIG. 5. Eigenvalues of the elastic constant matrix for V_2O_3 relative to their 300-K values as a function of temperature.

Summary

- Mott endpoint ≠ liquid-gas endpoint
- Mott endpoint = solid-solid endpoint
- Mott endpoint criticality: Landau (mean-field) not Ising
- critical elasticity regime: breakdown of Hooke's law

