Using wave functions and DFT

A. Savin et al.

http://www.lct.jussieu.fr/pagesperso/savin/publications.html

Tokyo, August 2007

Overview

► Context

- Method coupling WF with DF ($\Psi + n$, MR–DFT, ...)
- Results for van der Waals systems
- ► Further steps



Collaboration

- ► Paris: P. Gori–Giorgi, J. Toulouse
- ► Nancy: J. Angyán, I. Gerber
- ► Stuttgart: E. Goll, H. Stoll, H.–J. Werner
- ► Toulouse: Th. Leininger

Available methods

- QM (Ψ):universal but application to small systems only
- DF (*n*): larger systems, but not all types of interactions
- MM: even larger systems, but even more restrictions
- QM/MM, DF/MM, QM/DF

Objective

- Combine advantages from
 - QM (Ψ): universal applicability DF(*n*): larger systems

Problem with mixing: double counting

One physical effect described by both methods

Also: by none of the methods

Problem with \Psi : *N***-particle basis set**

 $\Psi(1, \dots, N) = \sum_{l=0,M} c_l \Phi_l(1, \dots, N) \qquad M \text{ extremely large}$ M = 0 in DFT

Problem with \Psi :1-particle basis set

 $\varphi(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\mu} \mathbf{C}_{\mu} \chi_{\mu}(\mathbf{r})$

 Φ_I need much larger sets of χ than just Φ_0 (DF)



Splitting V_{ee}

$$1 / r_{ij} = \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\mu r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} + \frac{\operatorname{erfc}(\mu r_{ij})}{r_{ij}}$$



Variation principle

$$\min_{\Psi} \{ \langle \Psi \mid T + V_{ne} + \mathcal{W}^{\mu} \mid \Psi \rangle + \mathcal{F}^{\mu} [n_{\Psi}] \}$$

$$\mathcal{W}^{\mu} = \sum_{i < j} \operatorname{erf}\left(\mu \mid r_{i} - r_{j} \mid\right) / |r_{i} - r_{j}|$$
$$\mathcal{F}^{\mu}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int n(r_{1}) n(r_{2}) \operatorname{erfc}\left(\mu \mid r_{i} - r_{j} \mid\right) / |r_{i} - r_{j}| + E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mu}[n]$$

Euler–Lagrange equations

$$(T + \mathcal{V}^{\mu} + \mathcal{W}^{\mu}) \Psi^{\mu} = E^{\mu} \Psi^{\mu}$$

$$\mathcal{V}^{\mu} = V_{\text{ne}} + \sum_{i=1,N} \delta \mathcal{F}^{\mu} / \delta n(r_i)$$

Ψ **+** *n*

Approximations

• Ψ : solution of the modified Schrödinger equation (KS/HF, MP2, MCSCF, CCSD, ...)

•
$$n: \mathcal{F}^{\mu}$$
 (μ -LDA, μ -PBE, ...)

Tokyo:

Y. Tawada, T. Tsuneda, S. Yanagisawa, T. Yanai, K. Hirao, JCP 120, 8425 (2004)

Motivation of the approximations

• $n : \mathcal{F}^{\mu}$ (μ -LDA, μ -PBE, ...)

where they work

Ψ **+** *n*

Choice of μ

Case	μ	W	$\mathbf{E_{xc}}^{\mu}$
Kohn – Sham	0	0	E_{xc}
WF	ω	V _{ee}	0

• $\mu \approx 0.5$: both erf (WF) and erfc (DF) (cf. Ángyán, Gerber; Fromager, Jensen, Toulouse)

$\Psi + n$

Choice of μ

$$1/r_{ij} = \frac{erf(0.5 r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} + \frac{erfc(0.5 r_{ij})}{r_{ij}}$$



$\Psi + n$

Double counting?

Avoided (in principle) by construction:

- short–range for *n*
- \bullet long–range for Ψ

van der Waals dimers

J. Ángyán et al., PRA 72, 12510 (2005) #114

Systems

- Rg₂ (Ángyán, Gerber, Toulouse)
- AmRg (Goll, Werner, Stoll, Leininger, Gori–Giorgi)

Am: alkali metal, not americium Rg: rare gas, not roentgenium

Are reference data reliable? (D_e , cm⁻¹)



E. Goll et al., CP 329, 276 (2006) #120

Basis set effect in CCSD(T) (D_e, cm^{-1})



Huge errors with LDA (D_e, cm^{-1})





Can a mixed method work?



CCSD(T)+LDA (VTZ) (D_e, cm^{-1})



CCSD(T)+PBE (VTZ) (D_e, cm^{-1})



Basis set effect (MAD for D_e , cm⁻¹)

Method	VTZ	VQZ
CCSD (T)	16	8
PBE + CCSD (T)	5	5

DF+MP2, DF+CCSD(T)



DF+MP2: J.G. Angyán, et al., PRA 72, 12510 (2005) #117 DF+CCSD(T): E. Goll, et al., PCCP 7, 3917 (2005)

recommended: T.–H. Tang and J. P. Toennies, JCP 118, 4976 (2003)

Further steps

- ► Other modified Hamiltonians?
- ► Beyond semi–local approximations?

Notation

$$H = T + V_{ne} + V_{ee} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{W}$$

$$T = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1,N} \nabla_i^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{T} = \sum_{i=1,N} t(r_i, r_i')$$

$$V_{ne} = \sum_{i=1,N} v_{ne}(r_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{V} = \sum_{i=1,N} v(r_i)$$

$$V_{ee} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} 1 / r_{ij} \rightarrow \mathcal{W} = \sum_{1 \le i < j \le N} w(r_{ij})$$

e-e, e-n, e



DFT: Hohenberg–Kohn

 $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{V} + \mathcal{W}$

 $\Psi \to n \Rightarrow v(r) \quad (\Psi)$

$$\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{r}) \rightarrow \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{r}) = 2 \frac{Z^3}{\pi} e^{-2Z\mathbf{r}}$$
$$Z = 2, \mathcal{T} = T,$$
$$\mathcal{W} = 0 \text{ or } \mathcal{W} = V_{ee}$$



F. Colonna, AS, J. Chem. Phys.110, 2828 (1999) #78

DFT: Hohenberg–Kohn

$$\mathcal{E}_0 = \min_{\Psi} \langle \Psi | \mathcal{H} | \Psi \rangle = \min_n F[n; \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}] + \int n v$$

DFT: definition of the functional

- Levy (constrained search)
 - $F[n; \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}] = \min_{\Psi \to n} \langle \Psi | \mathcal{T} + \mathcal{W} | \Psi \rangle$
- Lieb (Legendre transform)

 $F[n; \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{W}] = \sup_{\mathbf{v}} \left\{ \left(\min_{\Psi} \langle \Psi | \mathcal{T} + \mathbf{V} + \mathcal{W} | \Psi \rangle - \int n \mathbf{v} \right\} \right\}$

Obtaining *F*[*n*, *T*, *V*_{ee}] from *n*



R. Pollet et al. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 91, 84 (2002), #98

DFT: Kohn–Sham

$$\mathcal{T} = T$$
 and $\mathcal{W} = 0$

$$F[n; T, \mathcal{W} = 0] = T_{s}[n]$$

Obtaining $T_s[n]$ as $\sup_v \dots$

Rose and Shore, Sol. St. Commun.17, 327 (1975)

Linear switching on of V_{ee}

$$\mathcal{T} = T; w(r_{12}; \lambda) = \lambda / r_{12}, \lambda = 1, \frac{1}{2}, \rightarrow 0$$



Long–range switching on of V_{ee}

$$\mathcal{T} = T; w(r_{12}; \mu) = \operatorname{erf}(\mu r_{12}) / r_{12}, \mu \to \infty, \frac{1}{2}, \to 0$$



Long–range switching on of V_{ee}

$$\mathcal{T} = T; w(r_{12}; \mu) = (1 - e^{-\mu r_{12}}) / r_{12}, \mu \to \infty, 1, \to 0$$



A.S., H.-J. Flad Int. J. Quantum Chem. 56, 327 (1995) #52

Better W?

cf. Effective Potential eXpansion Y. Takada, PRB 35, 6923 (1987)

Why $erf(\mu r_{12}) / r_{12}$?

Yukawa vs. erf : similar

$$\int e^{-\alpha (r_1 - R_A)^2} e^{-\beta (r_2 - R_Q)^2} \frac{\operatorname{erf}(\mu r_{12})}{r_{12}} = f(\alpha, \beta) \frac{1}{|R_A - R_B|} \operatorname{erf}\left(\left|R_A - R_B\right| / \sqrt{\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} + \mu^{-2}}\right)$$

Other integrals by recursion McMurchie–Davidson, Obara–Saika, Gill et al., Lindh et al., ...

Orbital-space actions: gap shift

$$\mathcal{T} = T + G \mathcal{P}_{virtual}, \mathcal{W} = V_{ee}$$
 (choose space!)



J.Rey, A.S., Int. J. Quantum Chemistry 69, 581 (1998) #71

Orbital-space actions: cutoff

$$\mathcal{T} = T + \Theta(\kappa - \varepsilon_i), \mathcal{W} = V_{ee}$$
 (choose space!)







*E*_c(κ): κ–LDA



Choice of κ choice of 0



System Origin Origin Be fit HOMO Ne⁶⁺ fit HOMO

Motivation

DF approximations: successful

$$\overline{E}_{xc}[n; T, W = 0] \approx \int n(r) \varepsilon_{xc}(n(r), |\nabla n(r)|, ...) d^3 r$$

LDA for given T, W

Uniform electron gas calculation with *n*:

 $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{E}_0$ $H \to E_0$

Correction/electron: $\overline{\epsilon}$

LDA correction $\int d^3 r n(r) \overline{\varepsilon}(n(r))$

Quantities to approximate

 $\left\langle \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{T}(\xi) \right\rangle_{\xi}$

 $\left\langle \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{W} \right\rangle_{\xi}$

Using reduced density matrices

$$\left\langle \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{T}(\xi) \right\rangle_{\xi} = \iint d^3 r d^3 r' \left\{ \partial_{\xi} t(r, r'; \xi) \right\} \gamma(r, r'; \xi)$$

 $\langle \partial_{\xi} \mathcal{W} \rangle_{\xi} = \frac{1}{2} \iint d^3 r_1 d^3 r_2 P_2(r_1, r_2; \xi) \partial_{\xi} w(r_{12}; \xi)$ $t(r, r'), w(|r_1 - r_2|) : \text{far from (semi-)local DFAs (?)}$

$W(r_{12}) = \lambda / r_{12}$ $\partial_{\lambda} w = 1 / r_{12}$



Choice of H

$$\mathcal{T} = T$$
, $w(r) = \operatorname{erf}(\mu r) / r$

$$W(r_{12}) = erf(\mu r_{12}) / r_{12}$$
$$\partial_{\mu} W = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\mu^2 r_{12}^2}, \ \mu \to \infty, \ \frac{1}{2}, \ \to 0$$



As $\mu \to \infty$, $\partial_{\mu} w$ becomes strongly local

\overline{E}_x at large μ (1–RDM expansion)

$$\overline{E}_{x,\mu}[n] = \mu^{-2} C_{-2} \int n^2 + \mu^{-4} C_{-4} \int n \left(\frac{|\nabla n|^2}{8n} + \tau \right) + \dots$$

P. M. W. Gill, R.D. Adamson, J.A. Pople, Mol. Phys. 88, 1005 (1996); J. Toulouse, F. Colonna, A.S., JCP 122, 14110 (2005) #108

- Exact, universal (closed shells)
- μ LDA, μ m GGA, ... : systematic
- no SIC needed

$\overline{E}_{x}(\mu) \text{ for (He)}$

 μ – LDA accurate

J. Toulouse, F. Colonna, AS, PRA 70, 062505 (2004) #107

6

\overline{E}_c good at large μ

$$\overline{E}_{c,\mu}[n] = \mu^{-2} c_{-2} \int \left[\frac{P_2(r, r) - n(r)^2}{2} \right] d^3 r + \mu^{-3} c_{-3} \int \frac{P_2(r, r)}{2} d^3 r \dots$$

J. Toulouse, F. Colonna, AS PRA 70, 62505 (2004) #107 P. Gori–Giorgi, AS , PRA 73, 32506 (2006) #118

 $P_2(r, r)$ well approximated by LDA ('system average') J. Perdew et al

Behavior of \overline{E}_c for large μ (He)



J. Toulouse, F. Colonna, AS PRA 70, 62505 (2004) #107 P. Gori–Giorgi, AS , PRA 73, 32506 (2006) #118

Beyond μ – LDA: μ – PBE

Variants

J.Toulouse, F.Colonna, A.S., PRA 70,62505 (2004) #107 E. Goll, H.–J. Werner, H. Stoll, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 7,3917 (2005)

Different functionals:

Y. Tawada, T. Tsuneda, S. Yanagisawa, T. Yanai, K. Hirao, JCP 120, 8425 (2004)

J. Toulouse, F. Colonna, A. S., J. Chem. Phys. 122, 14110 (2005) #108

Overhauser model: Schrödinger equation $\rightarrow f(r_{12})$

Uniform electron gas: A. W. Overhauser, Can. J. Phys. 73, 683 (1995), P. Gori–Giorgi and J.P.Perdew, Phys.Rev.B 64,155102 (2001)

•••

P. Gori-Giorgi, A.S., PRA 71, 32513 (2005) #110

- KS orbitals $\rightarrow f^{\mu=0}(r_{12})$
- $f^{\mu=0} \rightarrow V_{\text{eff}}^{\mu=0}$
- Overhauser model: approximate correction to $v_{\text{eff}}^{\mu=0}$, $\Delta v_{\text{off}}^{\mu}$: $v_{\text{off}}^{\mu=0} + \Delta v_{\text{off}}^{\mu} \approx v_{\text{off}}^{\mu} \rightarrow f^{\mu}$

Overhauser model potential $\Delta v_{eff}^{\mu} = v_{eff}^{c, \mu}$



 $\Delta v_{\text{eff}}^{\mu}(r_{12}) \approx w^{\mu}(r_{12}) - \int_{r < \bar{r}_s} \overline{n} \, w^{\mu}(|r - r_{12}|) \, d^3 \, r$

P. Gori-Giorgi, AS, Phil. Mag. B 86, 2643 (2006) #116



Conclusions

Where we are

- Many of the problems of Ψ and of *n* circumvented
- Refinements?
- Simplifications?

Conclusions

Refinements

- Conceptual (construction of $\overline{F}[n], \mathcal{H},...$)
- Technical (multipolar character of long-range,...)

Conclusions

Simplifications

- Stay with single determinant?
- Construct models?