Orbital Frustration and Entanglement with Spin and Lattice degrees of freedom

> Department of Physics Tohoku University

> > Sumio Ishihara



Emergent Quantum Phases in Condensed Matter (EQPCM) ISSP Univ. of Tokyo, June 3-21, 2013

Outline

Outline

Introduction Ring exchange interaction in orbital model Orbital 120 model in honeycomb lattice Entanglement of Orbital-Spin-Lattice in honeycomb lattce Summary

Collaborators

J. Nasu (Tohoku -> Tokyo), T. Tanaka (Tohoku)

References

T. Tanaka, M. Matsumoto and SI PRL 95, 267204 ('05) T Tanaka and SI PRL 98 256402 ('07) T. Tanaka and SI PRB 78 153106 ('08) A. Nagano, M. Naka, J. Nasu, & SI, PRL 99, 217202 ('07) M. Naka, A. Nagano, & SI, PRB 77, 224441 ('08) J. Nasu, A. Nagano, M. Naka & SI, PRB 78, 024416 ('08) J. Nasu & SI JPSJ 80, 033704 ('11) J. Nasu and SI EPL 97, 27002 ('12) PRB 85, 205141 ('12) J. Nasu, S. Todo, and SI arXiv⁻ 12090239 J. Nasu, and SI

Correlated system with multi-degrees



Orbital Physics





Orbital degree of freedom



Multi-orbital Hubbard model

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle \gamma \sigma} t_{\gamma \gamma'} \left(c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\sigma} c_{j\gamma'\sigma} + H.c. \right) + U \sum_{i\gamma} n_{i\gamma\uparrow} n_{i\gamma\downarrow} + U' \sum_{i\sigma\sigma'} n_{iA\sigma} n_{iB\sigma'} - J \sum_{i\sigma\sigma'} c^{\dagger}_{iA\sigma} c_{iB\sigma} c^{\dagger}_{iB\sigma'} c_{iA\sigma'} - J' \sum_{i\gamma} c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\uparrow} c_{i\bar{\gamma}\uparrow} c^{\dagger}_{i\gamma\downarrow} c_{i\bar{\gamma}\downarrow},$$



Electron transfer



Through O2p orbital

NN e_g orbital



Pseudo-spin



$$|\theta,\phi\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|3z^2 - r^2\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\left(\frac{\theta}{2}\right)|x^2 - y^2\rangle$$

Pseudo-spin





Spin-Orbital model

$$H = -2J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^l \tau_j^l \right)$$
$$-2J_2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^l \tau_j^l \right) + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_i^l \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_j^l \right) \right]$$
$$-2J_3 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_i^l \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_j^l \right)$$

K. I. Kugel, and D. I. Khomskii, Sov. Phys. Usp. 25, 231 ('82).

Spin-Orbital model

$$t_{\gamma_1\gamma_2} = \delta_{\gamma_1\gamma_2}t_0 \quad J_1 = J_2 = J_3 \ (J = J' = 0)$$

SU(4) spin-orbital model

$$H = 2J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{4} + \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} + \vec{T}_i \cdot \vec{T}_j \right) + const.$$

Spin-Orbital model



Orbital & frustration

Geometrical frustration





Intrinsic orbital frustration



Even without geometrical frustration

Orbital models

Spin-Orbital model

Kugel-Khomskii model

 \vec{T} Pseudo-spin (S=1/2) for doubly degenerate orbital

$$\mathcal{H}_{SE} = -2J_1 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^l \tau_j^l \right)$$
$$-2J_2 \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \right) \left[\left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_i^l \tau_j^l \right) + 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_i^l \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \tau_j^l \right) \right]$$

Orbital only model 120° model $\mathcal{H} = 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i^l \tau_j^l \qquad \tau_i^l = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{iz} + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{ix}, \qquad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{y}$ Orbital compass model $\mathcal{H} = 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} T_i^l T_j^l \qquad \qquad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{x}$

120° model in a cubic lattice

$$\mathcal{H} = 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i^l \tau_j^l$$

$$\tau_i^l = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{iz} + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{ix},$$

$$l(=x, y, z) \quad \text{: bond direction}$$

$$(n_x, n_y, n_z) = (1, 2, 3)$$

 $\begin{cases} T_{iz}T_{jz} & l = z \\ \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{iz} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{ix}\right] \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{jz} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{jx}\right] & l = x \\ \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{iz} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{ix}\right] \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{jz} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{jx}\right] & l = y \end{cases}$

eg orbitals in a cubic lattice



A kind of frustration

Interaction explicitly depends on bond direction

Orbital 120° model in a cubic lattice

Interaction in momentum space



Orbital configuration is not determined uniquely in classical ground state (like frustrated spin systems)

Degeneracy in classical ground state

A macroscopic degeneracy in classical GS

[1] continuous staggered states

Feiner et al PRL(97) Khaliullin et al. PRB(97) Ishihara et al. PRB (00) Kubo et al. JPSJ (02) Nussinov et al. EPL(04)



[2] staking degenerate states





 $\mathcal{H}(z - direction) \sim 2JT_i^zT_j^z$

Order by fluctuation



Feiner et al (97), Khaliullin et al. (97) SI et al. (00), Kubo et al. (02), Nussinov et al. (04)

Compass model in a square lattice

"Orbital compass model" in a 2-dim. square lattice

$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{i} \left(T_i^x T_{i+\hat{x}}^x + T_i^z T_{i+\hat{z}}^z \right)$$

Kugel-Khomskii JETP (73), Khomskii-Mostovoy J. Phys (03) Oles Gr.

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{i+\hat{z}}^{z} \\ T_{i}^{z} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} T_{i}^{x} & T_{i+\hat{x}}^{x} \end{bmatrix}$$



Symmetry of Compass model



Hamiltonian is invariant under the transformation of $T^z \rightarrow -T^z$ in each column

> Mishra et al PRL(04), Nussinov et al. EPL(04), Dorier et al. PRB('05), Doucot et al. PRB(05)

Conventional orbital order does not appear (generalized Elitzur's theorem)

Directional order



Directional order

: $T^{x}(T^{z})$ correlation along x (z) direction

$$D = N^{-1} \sum_{i} \left(T_i^x T_{i+\hat{x}}^x - T_i^z T_{i+\hat{z}}^z \right)$$

Mishra et al PRL(04) Classical Dorier et al. PRB('05) T=0 Doucot et al. PRB(05) T=0

Present talk



Ring-exchange interaction

in orbital 120 mode

Ring exchange interaction



Model



Ring exchange interaction

4th order term

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_4 &= K_{NN} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_a} \left(\tau_i^a \tau_j^a - \bar{\tau}_i^a \bar{\tau}_j^a - T_i^y T_j^y \right) \\ &+ K_{NNN} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_a}' \left(\tau_i^a \tau_j^a - 5 \bar{\tau}_i^a \bar{\tau}_j^a + \frac{1}{2} T_i^y T_j^y \right) \\ &+ K_{3NN} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_a}'' \tau_i^a \tau_j^a + \mathcal{H}_R \end{aligned}$$



$$K_{NN} = 3t^4/(2U^3) K_{NNN} = 3t^4/(4U^3) K_{3NN} = 4t^4/U^3$$

Ring exchange interaction $\mathcal{H}_{R} = K_{R} \sum_{[ijkl]_{a}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\tau_{i}^{a+} \tau_{j}^{a-} \tau_{k}^{a+} \tau_{l}^{a-} + H.c. \right)$

$$\tau_i^{\pm a} = \tau_i^a \pm i(\sqrt{3}/2)T_i^y$$
$$K_R = 40t^4/U^3$$



$$\begin{array}{ll} r_R = \sqrt{K_R/(20J)} \\ r_R = 0.1 - 0.2 \\ r_R = 0.4 - 0.5 \end{array} \quad \mbox{LaMnO}_3 \end{array}$$

Ring exchange v.s. NN exchange



Phase diagram (classical)



Octupole order



Quantum orbital state



Quantum orbital state

Extended Bethe method

Quadrupole (Tx,Tz) ordered moment Octupole (Ty) ordered moment (T=0)



Quantum orbital state





Ring exchange v.s. NN exchange

2-body correlation func. $K_Q(\mathbf{q}) = 4N^2 \sum_{ij} \langle T_i^x T_j^x + T_i^z T_j^z \rangle e^{\mathbf{q} \cdot (\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)}$ Octupole v.s. Quadrupole Plaquette 4-body correlation func. $P^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{6N} \sum_{[ijkl]} (1 - 16 \langle T_i^{\alpha} T_j^{\alpha} T_k^{\alpha} T_l^{\alpha} \rangle)$ Suppression of ordered moment

Implication for experiments





Orbital 120 mode

on a honeycomb lattice
120° model in a cubic lattice

$$\mathcal{H} = 2J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \tau_i^l \tau_j^l$$

$$\tau_i^l = \cos\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{iz} + \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{3}n_l\right) T_{ix},$$

$$l(=x, y, z) \quad \text{: bond direction}$$

$$(n_x, n_y, n_z) = (1, 2, 3)$$

$$\begin{cases} T_{iz}T_{jz} & l = z \\ \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{iz} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{ix}\right] \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{jz} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{jx}\right] & l = x \\ \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{iz} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{ix}\right] \left[-\frac{1}{2}T_{jz} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{jx}\right] & l = y \end{cases}$$



A kind of frustration

Interaction explicitly depends on bond direction

Honeycomb lattice 120 degree model

Doubly-degenerate orbital on a honeycomb lattice



Honeycomb lattice orbital model



Classical ground state

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{J}{2} \sum_{i \in A, l} \left(\tau_i^l - \tau_{i+\hat{l}}^l \right)^2 - \frac{3}{16} JN$$

" $\tau = \tau$ rule"

 τ_i^l : a projection component along l



Classical ground states:

$$au_i^l = au_j^l$$

for all NN bonds

A macroscopic number of degeneracy in classical configurations



Classical state at finite T



Multi-Canonical MC (Classical) Orbital Model $N=2 \times 2 - 12 \times 12$

A peak in specific heat at very low $T << T_{MF} = 3J/4$

Correlation function (classical)



A kind of angle order



Multi-Canonical MC (Classical)

Order Parameter (?) $\langle \cos 3\theta \rangle$

$$\langle \cos^2 3\theta \rangle = \sqrt{\langle \left(\frac{1}{N} \sum_i \cos 3\theta_i\right)^2 \rangle}$$

Orbital angle is fixed at $\cos 3\theta = 1$ or $\cos 3\theta = -1$ below T_O

A kind of angle order



A macroscopic number of degenerate configurations still remain

Why $\cos 3\theta = +1/-1$?

Fluctuation with keeping a condition $\tau_i^l = \tau_j^l$ rule



 $\cos 3\theta \neq \pm 1$

(b)



low-lying fluctuation around $\cos 3\theta = \pm 1$

entropy gain in finite T

Classical phase diagram



Quantum state at T=0



Lanczos method

 $2 \times 2 \times 2$, $2 \times 2 \times 3$, $2 \times 3 \times 3$, $2 \times 3 \times 4$ site clusters

$$\Delta = E(1st) - E(GS)$$

Gapless or degenerate state

Quantum state at T=0



Exact diagonalization in finite cluster by Lanczos method

$$S^{zz}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{ij} T_i^z T_j^z e^{i\boldsymbol{q}(\boldsymbol{r}_i - \boldsymbol{r}_j)}$$

No possibility of conventional order by quantum fluctuation

Variational approach

Honeycomb lattice is covered by NN bonds with the minimum bond energy

trial wave function

$$\Psi^{(+)} \rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{l} \mathcal{A}_{l} \left\{ |\psi_{l}^{(\uparrow)}\rangle + |\psi_{l}^{(\downarrow)}\rangle \right\}, \\ |\psi_{l}^{(\uparrow)}\rangle = \prod_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} U(\phi_{\eta})_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} |\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle. \\ U(\phi_{\eta})_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} = \exp\left[-i\phi_{\eta} \left(T_{i}^{y} + T_{j}^{y}\right)\right],$$



Quantum Resonance



Resonance energy:

∼10% of energy gain of quantum effect

Variational approach

Overlap between the trial w.f. and GS w.f. by Lanczos



Dynamical JT effect on

Honeycomb lattice spin-orbital model

Quantum Spin Liquid State

No long range magnetic order down to low temperatures

- One-dimensional spin chain No LRO at finite Temperature S=1/2 S=1(Haldane)
- Geometrical Frustration
 e.g. 2dim. triangular lattice
- A possibility of spin liquid in Spin-Orbital system with Dynamical Jahn-Teller effect



κ-(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃



Ba₃CuSb₂O₉

Spin liquid state in the S=1/2 triangular lattice $Ba_3CuSb_2O_9$



No LRO T>0.2K

Ba₃CuSb₂O₉

Nakatsuji, Sawa, Hagiwara, Wakabayashi et al. Science 336, 559 (2012)





(Spin liquid w/o frustration ?)

X-ray diffraction







ESR

Nearly isotropic g-factor

No/weak static JT distortion (Dynamical JT?)

1.1



Nakatsuji, Sawa, Hagiwara, Wakabayashi et al. Science 336, 559 (2012)

Orbital – Spin + Dynamical JT system



 ✓ Inter-site Exchange Interaction v.s.
 Local Dynamical JT Effect

 ✓ A possible scenario for spin liquid in Ba₃CuSb₂O₉

```
-
```

$$H = H_{\text{exch}} + H_{\text{JT}}$$

Spin-orbital Superexchange



dp type model Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} d_i^{\dagger} p_j + h.c. + \Delta \sum n_p + U_d \sum n_{\uparrow}^d n_{\downarrow}^d + U', J, J' + \cdots$$

Perturabation for electron transfer

Kugel-Khomskii type Hamiltonian

Super-exchange interaction

Kugel-Khomskii type Hamiltonian

$$\begin{split} H_{\text{exch}} &= \sum_{\langle ij \rangle_{\gamma}} (H_{dd}^{ij;\gamma} + H_{dpd}^{ij;\gamma}) \\ &\sim \sum_{ij} (\mathbf{S}_{i} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{j}) (T_{i}T_{j}) \\ \begin{cases} \tau_{i}^{x} &= -\frac{1}{2}T_{i}^{x} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{i}^{x} \\ \tau_{i}^{y} &= -\frac{1}{2}T_{i}^{z} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{i}^{x} \\ \tau_{i}^{y} &= -\frac{1}{2}T_{i}^{z} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}T_{i}^{x} \\ \tau_{i}^{z} &= T_{i}^{z} \end{cases} \\ \\ H_{dd}^{ij;\gamma} &= -A_{d} \left(\frac{5}{4} - 5\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\tau_{j}^{\gamma} + 3\overline{\tau}_{i}^{\gamma}\overline{\tau}_{j}^{\gamma} + 3T_{i}^{y}T_{j}^{y} \right) \\ &- B_{d} \left(\frac{5}{2} + 2\tau_{i}^{\gamma} + 2\tau_{j}^{\gamma} - 6T_{i}^{y}T_{j}^{y} \right) P_{ij}^{S} \\ - C_{d} \left(\frac{5}{4} + 2\tau_{i}^{\gamma} + 2\tau_{j}^{\gamma} + 5\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\tau_{j}^{\gamma} - 3\overline{\tau}_{i}^{\gamma} \\ - (B_{p} + 2C_{p}) \left(4 + 4\tau_{i}^{\gamma} + 4\tau_{i}^{\gamma} + 4\tau_{i}^{\gamma} + 4\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\tau_{j}^{\gamma} \right) \\ A_{d} &= \frac{\ell_{d}^{2\ell_{d}}}{\Delta^{4}} \frac{1}{U_{d}^{\ell} - J_{d}} \qquad B_{d} &= \frac{\ell_{d}^{2\ell_{d}}}{\Delta^{4}} \frac{1}{U_{d}^{\ell} + J_{d}} \qquad C_{d} &= \frac{t}{4} \end{aligned}$$



E × e Jahn-Teller effect



Dynamic Jahn-Teller effect



Hamiltonian for low-lying vibronic states

Effective Hamiltonian for the lowest 6 vibronic states



Superexchange v.s. dJT



Local v.s. Inter-site

c.f. Kondo v.s. RKKY

Method



Further

 H_{exch} is analyzed by Exact Dagonalization, & MF method

Spin & Orbital State



Spin & Orbital State



Spin & Orbital State



Superexchage v.s. dJT



Spin-orbital system with reduced orbital moments

Competition between Dynamical JT and superexchange interaction



Doubly-degenerate orbital on a honeycomb lattice



Variational approach

Honeycomb lattice is covered by NN bonds with the minimum bond energy

trial wave function

$$\Psi^{(+)} \rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{l} \mathcal{A}_{l} \left\{ |\psi_{l}^{(\uparrow)}\rangle + |\psi_{l}^{(\downarrow)}\rangle \right\}, \\ |\psi_{l}^{(\uparrow)}\rangle = \prod_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} U(\phi_{\eta})_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} |\uparrow \dots \uparrow\rangle. \\ U(\phi_{\eta})_{\langle ij \rangle_{l}} = \exp\left[-i\phi_{\eta} \left(T_{i}^{y} + T_{j}^{y}\right)\right],$$



Quantum Resonance



Resonance energy:

∼10% of energy gain of quantum effect

Connection to orbital-only model


Discussion for Ba₃CuSb₂O₉

Low energy excitation + Gapped excitation

• Susceptibility $\chi \sim \chi$ (free)+ χ (gap)



• Specific heat low energy + gapped parts



 Inelastic Neutron scattering Gapped excitation



Nakatsuji et al. Science 336, 559 (2012)

Spin-orbital resonance

Gapped spin liquid





Orbital Excitation "Orbiton" & INS as an experimental probe

Orbiton

Orbital wave (orbiton)



Orbiton

Spin-orbitla model

-U+J'

Horstein-Primakoff trans. magnon $s_i^{\dagger} s_i$ $T_i^z = \frac{1}{2} - t_i^{\dagger} t_i$ $T_i^+ = (1 - t_i^{\dagger} t_i)^{1/2} t_i$ orbiton $t_i^{\dagger} t_i$ $T_i^- = t_i^{\dagger} (1 - t_i^{\dagger} t_i)^{1/2}$ orbiton-magnon $t_i^{\dagger} s_i^{\dagger} t_i s_i t_i^{\dagger} s_i t_i s_i^{\dagger}$

Orbiton dispersion relation

LaMnO₃ -a mother compound of CMR material -



S. Ishihara, J. Inoue, S. Maekawa Phys. Rev. B 55, 8280 ('97).

Orbiton by Ramman scattering





FIG. 2. Orbiton and phonon dispersion, neglecting dynamical effects due to the *e-p* coupling; (a) without *e-p* coupling *g* and without bare phonon dispersion, (b) $g/\omega_0 - 1/2$, no bare phonon dispersion, and (c) $g/\omega_0 - 1/2$, finite bare phonon dispersion. The points of high symmetry in the Brillouin zone correspond to those of Ref. [13].

al + Dynamical:

Effects of JT coupling mics (Dynamical JT,



FIG. 2. Optical conductivity of LaMnO₃. The points are the lowest Lorentzian oscillator fit by Jung *et al.* [16] to their data. The dashed curve is a T - 0 sum of convolved Lorentzians centered at the vibrational replicas shown as vertical bars; the solid curves are T - 0 (lower) and T - 300 K (upper) sums of convolved Gaussians, also shown in the inset on a logarithmic scale. Tick marks in the inset denote decades.

Vibronic excitation (cooperative JT problem)



Orbital – Lattice coupling

$$H_{J} = -2J_{1} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{3}{4} + \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{j} \right) \left(\frac{1}{4} - \tau_{i}^{l} \tau_{j}^{l} \right)$$
Exchange interaction
$$-2J_{2} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{j} \right) \left(\frac{3}{4} + \tau_{i}^{l} \tau_{j}^{l} + \tau_{i}^{l} + \tau_{j}^{l} \right)$$
$$\vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{j} \rightarrow \langle \vec{S}_{i} \cdot \vec{S}_{j} \rangle$$

$$\begin{split} H_{\rm JT} &= -\frac{\hbar^2}{2M} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q_u^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial Q_v^2} \right) + \frac{M\omega^2}{2} (Q_u^2 + Q_v^2) + A(\sigma^x Q_v - \sigma^z Q_u) \\ & \text{Kinetic} & \text{Lattice potential} & \text{JT interaction} \end{split}$$



Dynamic Jahn-Teller effect



Vibronic collective mode





i-1-site



i+1-site

Summary

"Orbital-Frustration-Entanglement"

• Ring exchange interaction in cubic orbital 120models

Magnetic quadrupole order

Honeycomb lattice orbital 120models

Possibility of quantum orbital state

- DJT effect in honeycomb lattice spin-orbital model

Spin-Orbital resonant state Implication to Ba3Sb2CuO9

Orbital excitation

Low energy collective vibronic mode



