

# Correlation effects on topological insulators -a dynamical mean field approach -

Tsuneya Yoshida Kyoto Univ.

Collaborators: Robert Peters, Satoshi Fujimoto, and N. KawaKami

T.Y., Satoshi Fujimoto, and Norio Kawakami Phys. Rev. B 85, 125113 (2012)

## **Outline**

## 1. Introduction

- Topological phase in d f electron systems
- Several studies of correlated TBI

Mott vs. TBI

2. Purpose
3. Model and Method
4. Numerical Results

(DMFT study of BHZ+U model)

5. Summary

Related studies: (If time allows.)

# 1. Introduction

### $\sim$ Properties of topological insulators $\sim$



C. L. Kane et al, PRL 95 146802



#### Characteristic magnetoelectric response

```
Quantized spin Hall conductivity. (QSH ins.)
```

Topological magnetoelectric effect. (**3**D strong-TBI)

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{e^2}{2hc}\mathbf{B}$$
  $\mathbf{M} = -\frac{e^2}{2hc}\mathbf{E}$ 

 $\sim$ Topological phase in d,f electron systems  $\sim$ 









### Symmetry protected phases

Topological phases induced by Coulomb interaction

Phase competition :

[Topological phase] vs. [ordered phases]

etc

magnetic phase, charge density wave phase

### Topological phases induced by interactions



6/21





Such phases are also reported in pyrochlore and diamond lattice.

### •Phase competition : Topological phase v.s. magnetic phase (Kane-Mele+U)

M. Hohenadler ef al, PRL 106 100403

(Auxiliary field QMC)  $H_{\rm KM} = -t \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle} c_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} c_{\mathbf{j}} + i \lambda \sum_{\langle \langle \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \rangle \rangle} c_{\mathbf{i}}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} c_{\mathbf{j}},$ 8  $H_U = \frac{U}{2} \sum_{i} (c_i^{\dagger} c_i - 1)^2.$  (a) xy AFMI *← xyz* AFMI 6 a₁ TBI 2 ←SM Low High (d) 0 0.06 0.02 0.04 0.1 0 0.3  $\lambda / t$ 0.2 0.5 Spin configuration λt TBI 0.4 (in-plane) 0.3 ا الا 0.1 0.2 <u>SM</u> 2 <sup>1</sup> U/t <sup>2</sup> 0.0 8 10 6 0.1 (with VCA) -2 0 3 5 6 8 U/tS. Yu et al, PRL 107, 010401 -6 π/a 2π/a



## **Outline**

 Introduction
 Purpose
 Model and Method

 DMFT+CT-QMC
 Relation between spin Hall conductivity and spin Chern number

### 4. Numerical Results

•spin Hall conductivity,

spectral function,
magnetic instability

5. Summary and Outlook



Understand the phase competition with non-perturbative method.

Bernevig-Hughes-Zhang model+U
 Dynamical Mean field theory

 t
 Continuous Time-Quantum Monte Carlo simulation

Introduction
 Purpose
 Model and Method

 Model ~ BHZ+U model ~
 Method ~DMFT+CT-QMC ~
 How to detect the topological property ~Relation between spin Hall conductivity and spin Chern number ~

4. Numerical Results5. Summary and Outlook



#### Method : Dynamical Mean Field Theory (DMFT+CT-QMC)



### Advantage

DMFT has had a great success describing Mott transitions

CT-QMC provides numerically exact solutions.

13/21

 $\sim$  How to detect topological property  $\sim$ 

Even in 
$$U \neq 0$$
 :  $\sigma_{xy}^{SH} = -\frac{e^2}{(2\pi)\hbar}N$ 

K. Ishikawa et al, Nucl. Phys. B 280 523.

#### Kubo formula



Introduction
 Purpose
 Model and Method

## 4. Numerical Results

Phase competition

•Topological ins. •Mott ins. (i). Spin Hall conductivity(ii). Spectral function(iii). Magnetic instability

(at finite temperature)

### 5. Summary and Outlook







### $\sim$ (iii) Magnetic instability $\sim$



18

# Summary



# **Related** studies



and N. Kawakami PRB 87, 165109 (2013)

20/21



# Thank you for your attention!