

A continuous time algorithm for quantum impurity models

Philipp Werner

Department of Physics, Columbia University



cond-mat/0512727

Outline

- Introduction
 - Dynamical mean field theory
 - Existing impurity solvers
- New approach
 - Diagrammatic expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization
 - Monte Carlo sampling
 - Scaling with temperature and interaction strength
- Applications
 - Temperature- and doping dependent Mott transition
 - Free energy calculation
- Generalization
 - Matrix formalism

Collaborators

• A. J. Millis, M. Troyer

Dynamical mean field theory Metzner & Vollhardt (1989), Georges & Kotliar (1992)

- Replace lattice problem by a single site effective problem
- Neglect spatial, keep dynamical fluctuations
- Becomes exact in the infinite coordination number limit

Dynamical mean field theory Metzner & Vollhardt (1989), Georges & Kotliar (1992)

• Lattice model (Density of states $D(\epsilon)$, Self energy $\Sigma_{lat}(i\omega_n, k)$)

 $H_{lat} = -\mu \sum_{i} (n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}) + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + t \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma}$



• Single impurity (Hybridization V_k , Self energy $\Sigma_{imp}(i\omega_n)$) $H = -\mu(n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}) + Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow} + \sum_k \epsilon_{k,\sigma}^{bath} n_{k,\sigma}^{bath} + \sum_{k,\sigma} (V_k c_{\sigma}^{\dagger} a_{k,\sigma}^{bath} + h.c.)$



Dynamical mean field theory Metzner & Vollhardt (1989), Georges & Kotliar (1992)

• Lattice model (Density of states $D(\epsilon)$, Self energy $\Sigma_{lat}(i\omega_n, k)$)

 $H_{lat} = -\mu \sum_{i} (n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}) + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + t \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma}$



• Effective Action (Hybridization $F(\tau)$, Self energy $\Sigma_{imp}(i\omega)$) $S = \int d\tau (-\mu(n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}) + Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow}) - \sum_{\sigma} \int d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}(\tau) F_{\sigma}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau')$



Dynamical mean field theory Metzner & Vollhardt (1989), Georges & Kotliar (1992)

• Lattice model (Density of states $D(\epsilon)$, Self energy $\Sigma_{lat}(i\omega_n, k)$)

 $H_{lat} = -\mu \sum_{i} (n_{i\uparrow} + n_{i\downarrow}) + U \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{i\downarrow} + t \sum_{\langle i,j\rangle,\sigma} c_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{j,\sigma}$



• Effective Action (Hybridization $F(\tau)$, Self energy $\Sigma_{imp}(i\omega)$) $S = \int d\tau (-\mu(n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}) + Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow}) - \sum_{\sigma} \int d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}(\tau) F_{\sigma}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau')$

$$\mathbf{\mathbf{F}}(\boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\tau}')$$

• Self-consistency

$$S \to \Sigma_{lat}(i\omega_n) \equiv \Sigma_{imp}(i\omega_n) \to G_{lat}(i\omega_n) = \int d\epsilon \frac{D(\epsilon)}{i\omega_n + \mu - \epsilon - \Sigma_{lat}(i\omega_n)} \to S_{\text{ISSP, Aug. 06}}$$

Previous QMC approaches

Hirsch-Fye solver Hirsch & Fye (1986)

• Hubbard model: $Z = TrT_{\tau}e^{-S}$ with action $S = S_F + S_{loc}$

$$S_F = -\sum_{\sigma} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}(\tau) F_{\sigma}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau')$$
$$S_{loc} = -\mu \int_0^{\beta} d\tau (n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}) + U \int_0^{\beta} d\tau n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$$

- Discretize imaginary time into N equal slices $\Delta \tau$
- Decouple $Un_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow}$ using discrete Hubbard-Stratonovich transformation $e^{-\Delta \tau U(n_{\uparrow}n_{\downarrow}+1/2(n_{\uparrow}+n_{\downarrow}))} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=\pm 1} e^{\lambda s(n_{\uparrow}+n_{\downarrow})}, \lambda = \cosh(e^{\Delta \tau U/2})$
- Perform Gaussian integral

$$Z = \sum_{s_i} \det G_{0,\uparrow}^{-1}(s_1, ..., s_N) G_{0,\downarrow}^{-1}(s_1, ..., s_N)$$

- MC sampling of auxiliary Ising spins
- Initial drop of Green function $\sim e^{-U\tau/2}$
 - \rightarrow Matrix size: $N \sim 5\beta U$
 - \rightarrow Low temperatures not accessible



Previous QMC approaches

Rubtsov solver Rubtsov et al. (2005)

• Hubbard model: $Z = TrT_{\tau}e^{-S}$ with action $S = S_0 + S_U$

$$S_{0} = -\mu \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau (n_{\uparrow} + n_{\downarrow}) - \sum_{\sigma} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau d\tau' c_{\sigma}(\tau) F_{\sigma}(\tau - \tau') c_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau')$$
$$S_{U} = U \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$$

- Continuous-time solver based on a diagrammatic expansion of Z Prokof'ev et al. (1996)
- Treat quadratic part S_0 as unperturbed action and expand $e^{-U \int d\tau n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}}$ $Z = \sum_k \frac{(-U)^k}{k!} \int d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_k Tr \left[T_{\tau} e^{-S_0} n_{\uparrow}(\tau_1) n_{\downarrow}(\tau_1) \dots n_{\uparrow}(\tau_k) n_{\downarrow}(\tau_k) \right]$
- Perform Gaussian integral

$$Z = \sum_{k} \frac{(-U)^{k}}{k!} \int d\tau_1 \dots d\tau_k$$

$$\times \det G_{0,\uparrow}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k) G_{0,\downarrow}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_k)$$

- MC sampling of vertices $\{n_{\uparrow}(\tau_i)n_{\downarrow}(\tau_i)\}_{i=1,2,...,k}$
- Matrix size: $\langle k \rangle \sim 0.5 \beta U$

$$+ \bigvee_{G_{0,\uparrow}}^{G_{0,\uparrow}} U + U \bigoplus_{U}^{U} U + \cdots$$

New impurity solver

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

- Non-interacting model: $Z = TrT_{\tau} \exp(\int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' c(\tau) F(\tau \tau') c^{\dagger}(\tau'))$
- Expand exponential, evaluate in the occupation number basis $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle\}$
- $Z = \frac{1}{0!}Tr1$ $+ \frac{1}{1!}TrT_{\tau} \int d\tau_{1}^{s} d\tau_{1}^{e} c(\tau_{1}^{e})F(\tau_{1}^{e} - \tau_{1}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{1}^{s})$ $+ \frac{1}{2!}TrT_{\tau} \int d\tau_{1}^{s} d\tau_{1}^{e} d\tau_{2}^{s} d\tau_{2}^{e} c(\tau_{1}^{e})F(\tau_{1}^{e} - \tau_{1}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{1}^{s}) c(\tau_{2}^{e})F(\tau_{2}^{e} - \tau_{2}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{2}^{s})$ $+ \dots$



New impurity solver

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

- Non-interacting model: $Z = TrT_{\tau} \exp(\int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' c(\tau) F(\tau \tau') c^{\dagger}(\tau'))$
- Expand exponential, evaluate in the occupation number basis $\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle\}$
- $Z = \frac{1}{0!}Tr1$ $+ \frac{1}{1!}TrT_{\tau} \int d\tau_{1}^{s} d\tau_{1}^{e} c(\tau_{1}^{e})F(\tau_{1}^{e} - \tau_{1}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{1}^{s})$ $+ \frac{1}{2!}TrT_{\tau} \int d\tau_{1}^{s} d\tau_{1}^{e} d\tau_{2}^{s} d\tau_{2}^{e} c(\tau_{1}^{e})F(\tau_{1}^{e} - \tau_{1}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{1}^{s}) c(\tau_{2}^{e})F(\tau_{2}^{e} - \tau_{2}^{s})c^{\dagger}(\tau_{2}^{s})$ $+ \dots$



• Some diagrams have negative weight

New impurity solver

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

- Non-interacting model: $Z = TrT_{\tau} \exp(\int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' c(\tau) F(\tau \tau') c^{\dagger}(\tau'))$
- Collect the k! diagrams with the same $\{c(\tau_i^s), c^{\dagger}(\tau_i^e)\}_{i=1...k}$ into a determinant

$$Z_{k}(\tau_{1}^{s}, \tau_{1}^{e}; \tau_{2}^{s}, \tau_{2}^{e}; \dots; \tau_{k}^{s}, \tau_{k}^{e}) = \det F^{(k)} \delta_{\tau_{1}^{s}}^{\tau_{k}^{e}}$$
$$F_{m,n}^{(k)} = F(\tau_{m}^{e} - \tau_{n}^{s})$$

- \rightarrow resums huge numbers of diagrams \rightarrow eliminates the sign problem
- Z_k can be visualized as a configuration of k segments on a circle

•
$$Z = 2 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau_{1}^{s} \dots \int_{\tau_{k-1}^{e}}^{\beta} d\tau_{k}^{s} \int_{\tau_{k}^{s}}^{\circ \tau_{1}^{s}} d\tau_{k}^{e} \times Z_{k}(\tau_{1}^{s}, \tau_{1}^{e}; \tau_{2}^{s}, \tau_{2}^{e}; \dots; \tau_{k}^{s}, \tau_{k}^{e})$$



Sign problem

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

- Example: $F(\tau) = c$, β -antiperiodic $\leftrightarrow F(i\omega_n) = \frac{c}{i\omega_n}$
- Diagrams: $p(k) \sim k! \frac{(c\beta^2)^k}{(2k)!}$
- Determinants: $p(k) \sim 2^k \frac{(c\beta^2)^k}{(2k)!}$





Monte Carlo sampling

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

Sampling of Z through local updates
(i) insertion/removal of segments
(ii) insertion/removal of anti-segments
(iii) shifts of the segment end points



Detailed balance

$$s_k \to s_{k+1} = s_k + \tilde{s} \qquad \qquad \frac{p_{ins}(\tilde{s})}{p_{rem}(\tilde{s})} = \frac{Z_{k+1}(s_{k+1})}{Z_k(s_k)} \frac{\beta l_{max}}{k+1} e^{\tilde{l}\mu}$$

- Store and update the matrix $M = F^{-1}$
 - \rightarrow access to determinant ratios
 - \rightarrow efficient computation of G

$$G(\tau) = \left\langle \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{i,j} M_{j,i} \Delta(\tau, \tau_i^e - \tau_j^s) \right\rangle$$

Monte Carlo sampling

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization *F* (cond-mat/0512727)

• Hubbard model ($U \neq 0$): Segment configurations for spin up/down



- Acceptance rate for MC moves now also depends on segment overlap
- Detailed balance

$$s \to \tilde{s}$$
 $\frac{p(s \to \tilde{s})}{p(\tilde{s} \to s)} = \frac{Z_k(\tilde{s})}{Z_k(s)} e^{(\tilde{l}-l)\mu - U\delta l_{overlap}}$

• Obviously: $E_{pot} = U \langle l_{overlap}^{total} \rangle$ $n_{\sigma} = G_{\sigma}(\beta) = \beta^{-1} \langle l_{\sigma}^{total} \rangle$

. . .

Number of segments

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization F (cond-mat/0512727)

- Efficiency of the algorithm depends on the matrix size k
- Computational effort $O(k^3)$
- Order of diagrams $\langle k
 angle \sim eta$
- $\langle k \rangle$ decreases with increasing U
 - \rightarrow small matrices
 - \rightarrow works even at very low T



Method	Hirsch-Fye	Expansion in U	Expansion in F
Matrix size $\langle k \rangle$	$\sim eta$	$\sim eta$	$\sim eta$
$\beta t = 100, U/t = 3$	1500	150	32
$\beta t = 100, U/t = 4$	2000	200	26
$\beta t = 100, U/t = 5$	2500	250	17

Results

- Semi-circular density of states (Bethe lattice) with band width 4t
- Enforce paramagnetic phase
- Self-consistency condition: $F(\tau) = t^2 G(-\tau)$
- Phase diagram (sketch)



Results - Green functions

- Can map out steep drop of $G(\tau)$ with almost perfect resolution
- Different large- τ behavior for metallic/insulating Green functions



Results - Kinetic energy

- Low temperature physics near Mott transition easily accessible
- Hirsch-Fye: systematic errors due to insufficient time-resolution



$$E_{kin} = -2t^2 \int d\tau G(\tau)^2$$

ED method: *M. Capone et al., cond-mat/0512484*



Results - Free energy

• Access to low temperature allows to compute the entropy

$$C_{met}(T) = dE_{met}/dT$$
$$S_{met}(T) = \int_0^T dT' \frac{C_{met}(T')}{T'}$$
$$S_{ins}(T) = \ln(2)$$

• Crossing of free energy curves yields first order transition point



Results - Doping dependence

- Algorithm works away from half filling \rightarrow compute $n(\mu)$ for $U \gtrsim U_{c2}$.
- Doping dependent Mott transition (for T > 0) is first order



Results - Doping dependence

- Doping dependent Mott transition remains first order down to T = 0
- Change in $F = E TS \mu n$ yields precise location of the transition





General formalism

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization function

• General model: $Z = TrT_{\tau}e^{-S}$ with action $S = S_F + S_{loc}$

$$S_F = -\sum_a \int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' \psi_a(\tau) F_a(\tau - \tau') \psi_a^{\dagger}(\tau')$$
$$S_{loc} = -\int_0^\beta d\tau (\underbrace{\psi^{\dagger} Q \psi \cdot T + U^{abcd} \psi_a^{\dagger} \psi_b^{\dagger} \psi_c \psi_d}_{H_{loc}})_{H_{loc}}$$

• Expand in F_a , resum diagrams into determinants

$$Z = TrT_{\tau}e^{-S_{loc}} \prod_{a} \sum_{k_{a}=0}^{\infty} \int d\tau_{a_{1}}^{s} \dots d\tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{e} \det(F_{a}^{(k_{a})}(\{\tau_{a}\})) \times \psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\tau_{a_{1}}^{s})\psi_{a}(\tau_{a_{1}}^{e}) \dots \psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{s})\psi_{a}(\tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{e})$$

• Configurations consist of k_a creation (annihilation) operators at times $\tau_{a_1}^s < \ldots < \tau_{a_{k_a}}^s$ ($\tau_{a_1}^e < \ldots < \tau_{a_{k_a}}^e$)

General formalism

Expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization function

• General model: $Z = TrT_{\tau}e^{-S}$ with action $S = S_F + S_{loc}$

$$S_F = -\sum_a \int_0^\beta d\tau d\tau' \psi_a(\tau) F_a(\tau - \tau') \psi_a^{\dagger}(\tau')$$
$$S_{loc} = -\int_0^\beta d\tau (\underbrace{\psi^{\dagger} Q \psi \cdot T + U^{abcd} \psi_a^{\dagger} \psi_b^{\dagger} \psi_c \psi_d}_{H_{loc}})$$

- Weight of $|_{0}$ • • • $|_{\beta}$ is $(K(\tau) = e^{-H_{loc}\tau})$ $w \sim \prod_{f} \det(F_{f}^{(k_{f})})Tr[K(\beta - \tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{e})\psi_{a}(\tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{e})K(\tau_{a_{k_{a}}}^{e} - \tau_{b_{k_{b}}}^{s})\psi_{b}^{\dagger}(\tau_{b_{k_{b}}}^{s})\dots$ $\dots \psi_{b}(\tau_{b_{1}}^{e})K(\tau_{b_{1}}^{e} - \tau_{c_{1}}^{e})\psi_{c}(\tau_{c_{1}}^{e})K(\tau_{c_{1}}^{e} - \tau_{a_{1}}^{s})\psi_{a}^{\dagger}(\tau_{a_{1}}^{s})K(\tau_{a_{1}}^{s})]$
- K, ψ , ψ^{\dagger} are $n \times n$ matrices
- Use eigenbasis of K

$$K(\tau) = \operatorname{diag}(e^{-\alpha_1\tau}, e^{-\alpha_2\tau}, \dots, e^{-\alpha_n\tau})$$

Conclusions

- Strong-coupling continuous-time impurity solver, based on a diagrammatic expansion in the impurity-bath hybridization
- Matrix size $\langle k \rangle$ decreases with increasing U
- Allows access to low T, even at large U
- No detectable sign problem
- Can be generalized to models with exchange → Conference talk
- On-going and future projects
 - Multi-orbital models and clusters
 - Small (or truncated) systems using matrix formalism
 - Large systems using double expansion in F and J

