## Charge-discharge mechanism of electrode materials for Li-ion batteries as seen via soft x-ray absorption/emission spectroscopy

Daisuke Asakura<sup>1</sup>, Eiji Hosono<sup>1</sup>, Yusuke Nanba<sup>1</sup>, Hideharu Niwa<sup>2</sup>, Hisao Kiuchi<sup>2</sup>, Jun Miyawaki<sup>3,4</sup>, Haoshen Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Masaharu Oshima<sup>2,3</sup> and Yoshihisa Harada<sup>3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Energy Technology Research Institute, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology

> <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Chemistry, The University of Tokyo <sup>3</sup>Synchrotron Radiation Research Organization, The University of Tokyo <sup>4</sup>Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo

To improve the performance of electrode materials for Li-ion batteries, understanding the charge-discharge properties from a view point of electronic structure, i.e., revealing redox reaction of the transition-metal (TM) in the electrode materials during Li-ion insertion/extraction is highly important. Core-level photoemission spectroscopy and x-ray absorption spectroscopy at the TM K edge have widely been used to study the valence change of the electrode materials during the charge/discharge process. However, these methods are not so suitable to extract the information of TM 3d orbitals such as crystal-field splitting and charge-transfer (CT) effect.

In order to clarify the TM 3*d* electronic structure in detail, we utilized high-energy-resolution soft x-ray emission spectroscopy (XES) which can element-selectively reveal the TM 3*d* orbital below the Fermi level. In this study, the Mn 3*d* electronic structure of LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> was investigated. Spinel-type LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> is a typical cathode material for Li-ion batteries [1]. The average valence of Mn is expected to be Mn<sup>3.5+</sup> at the initial state. At the charged state (Li-extracted Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), the Mn should be oxidized from Mn<sup>3.5+</sup> to Mn<sup>4+</sup>.

 $LiMn_2O_4$  powder was fabricated by a sol-gel method. The cubic crystal structure was confirmed by x-ray diffraction. The electrochemical

experiments were carried out according to Ref. 2.

Soft x-ray absorption spectroscopy (XAS) and XES measurements at the Mn  $L_{2,3}$  edges were performed at BL07LSU in SPring-8. The total electron-yield mode was employed for the XAS. The XES measurements were carried out using an ultra-high-resolution XES spectrometer, HORNET [3]. The energy resolution was set to  $E/\Delta E = 3200$ . All the XAS and XES measurements were performed at room temperature.

Figure 1 shows the Mn  $L_{2,3}$ -edge XAS of LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> for the initial, fully-charged, and discharged states. According to previous XAS studies for several Mn compounds and multiplet calculations [4], the multiplet structure of the initial-state spectrum suggests that Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> states coexist. In the  $L_3$  region, the peaks at 640 and 642 eV were ascribed to the Mn<sup>3+</sup> state and those at 640.8 and 643 eV were attributed to the Mn<sup>4+</sup> state. At the charged state, the Mn<sup>3+</sup> component decreased and the Mn<sup>4+</sup> character was enhanced, suggesting the oxidation of Mn by charging.



Fig. 1: Mn  $L_{2,3}$ -edge XAS for LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> during charge-discharge.

The discharged-state XAS spectrum is almost the same as the initial-state one. Thus, a reversible redox reaction of  $Mn^{3.5+}$  to  $Mn^{4+}$  was confirmed.

To separately discuss the  $Mn^{4+}$  state from  $Mn^{3+}$  one, we chose excitation energies ( $E_i$ ) of 642 and 643 eV for XES since the  $Mn^{3+}$  and  $Mn^{4+}$  characters should be enhanced at 642 and 643 eV,



Fig. 2: Resonant XES for LiMn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub> with  $E_i = (a) 642$  and (b) 643 eV.

respectively. Figure 2 displays the resonant XES results with  $E_i = 642$  and 643 eV. The dd-excitation peaks from 637 to 641.5 eV obviously changed during charge-discharge. Remarkably, the peak at 641 eV nearest to the elastic peak, which was observed for the initial state, disappeared by charging and emerged in the discharged-state spectrum. This result indicates that the peak at 641 eV is attributed to the  $Mn^{3+}$  state and that the electron near the Fermi level was removed by charging. The Mn<sup>4+</sup> state should be dominant for the charged state. In the case of  $E_i = 643$  eV (Fig. 2(b)), changes of the *dd*-excitation peaks between the initial and charged states were small compared to the case of  $E_i = 642$  eV, which confirms that the Mn<sup>4+</sup> character should be enhanced for the initial state with  $E_i = 643$  eV. Furthermore, the CT excitation from 630 to 637 eV observed in the initial-state spectrum with  $E_i = 642 \text{ eV}$ approached to the elastic peak by ~1.5 eV for the charged state, indicating the CT effects between the  $Mn^{3+}$  and  $Mn^{4+}$  states should be different. In addition, the discharged-state spectra did not absolutely reproduce the spectral shape of the initial state as for the region from 639.5 to 641.5 eV in the case of  $E_i = 642$  eV and that from 640.5 to 642.5 eV for  $E_i =$ 643 eV while the other parts were reproduced. The charge-discharge process should slightly affect the crystal field with a Jahn-Teller distortion on the Mn<sup>3+</sup> site. The small changes of the Mn<sup>3+</sup> state which were hardly observed in XAS could be detected by use of high-energy-resolution resonant XES.

In summary, XES measurements for  $LiMn_2O_4$  were performed to reveal the Mn 3*d* electronic structure during charge-discharge process. We demonstrate that the Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> states are successfully distinguished by using high-energy-resolution resonant XES. In future, multiplet calculations will be performed to determine the electronic-structure parameters for both the Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Mn<sup>4+</sup> states.

## References

- [1] M. M. Thackeray et al., Mater. Res. Bull. 18, 461, (1983).
- [2] M. Okubo et al., ACS Nano 4, 741 (2010).
- [3] Y. Harada et al., Rev. Sci. Inst. 83, 013116 (2012).
- [4] F. M. F. de Groot, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom. 67, 529 (1994).